arXiv:nucl-th/9405009v1 10 May 1994

The Nolen-Schiffer anomaly and isospin symmetry breaking in nuclear matter

K. Saito*

Physics Division, Tohoku College of Pharmacy

Sendai 981, Japan

and

A. W. Thomas†

Department of Physics and Mathematical Physics

University of Adelaide, South Australia, 5005, Australia

Abstract

The quark-meson coupling model which we have developed previously is extended to incorporate the δ meson. It is then used to study the Nolen-Schiffer anomaly and isospin symmetry breaking in nuclear matter. We find that, in combination with the u-d mass difference, the difference between quark scalar densities in protons and neutrons generates an effective neutron-proton mass difference of the right magnitude. Finally we find that isospin symmetry breaking in the quark condensates can be directly related to the δ meson field.

*ksaito@nucl.phys.tohoku.ac.jp

†athomas@physics.adelaide.edu.au

The Nolen-Schiffer (NS) anomaly [1, 2] (sometimes called the Okamoto-Nolen-Schiffer anomaly) is a long-standing problem in nuclear physics. The anomaly is the discrepancy between experiment and theory for the binding energy differences of mirror nuclei. It is conveniently expressed in terms of the quantity ΔE_c:

$$\Delta E_c = M_{Z_>} - M_{Z_<} + \delta_{np} + E_c, \tag{1}$$

where $M_{Z_>(Z_<)}$ is the atomic mass of the larger (smaller) charge nucleus, $\delta_{np} = 0.782$ MeV is the neutron-proton atomic mass difference and E_c is the Coulomb correction associated with the additional proton in $Z_>$. Conventional nuclear contributions to the anomaly are thought to be at the few per cent level and cannot explain the experimental findings [1-4]. The effects of charge symmetry breaking in the nuclear force [1, 5], especially ρ-ω mixing, seem to reduce the discrepancy [4-6]. However, recent investigations of the off-shell variation of the ρ-ω mixing amplitude have put this explanation into question [7, 8]. In an alternate approach, using the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model, Henley and Krein [9] have indicated that the anomaly might be related to the partial restoration of chiral symmetry in nuclear matter. More recent theoretical investigations have involved QCD sum-rules [10].

In this letter we shall extend the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model [11] to incorporate an isovector, scalar meson, the δ. We then use it to investigate the NS anomaly and isospin symmetry breaking in matter. In this model, which is a natural development of the earlier work of Guichon [12] and Yazaki et al. [13], nuclear matter consists of non-overlapping nucleon bags bound by the self-consistent exchange of σ, ω, ρ and δ mesons in the mean-field approximation (MFA). It provides an excellent description of the properties of both nuclear matter and nuclear structure functions [11, 14]. Furthermore, the relationship between the QMC model and QHD [15] has been clarified [11].

Let the mean-field values for the σ, ω (the time component), ρ (the time component in the third direction of isospin) and δ (in the third direction of isospin) fields, in uniformly distributed nuclear matter with $N \neq Z$, be $\bar{\sigma}$, $\bar{\omega}$, $\bar{b}$ and $\bar{\delta}$, respectively. The nucleon is described by the static spherical MIT bag in which quarks interact (self-consistently) with

those mean fields. The Dirac equation for a quark field, ψ, in a bag is then given by

$$[i\gamma\cdot\partial-(m_i-V_\sigma-\frac{1}{2}\tau_z V_\delta)-\gamma^0(V_\omega+\frac{1}{2}\tau_z V_\rho)]\psi_{i/j}=0, \tag{2}$$

where $V_\sigma = g^q_\sigma\bar{\sigma}$, $V_\omega = g^q_\omega\bar{\omega}$, $V_\rho = g^q_\rho\bar{b}$ and $V_\delta = g^q_\delta\bar{\delta}$ with the quark-meson coupling constants, g^q_σ, g^q_ω, g^q_ρ and g^q_δ. The subscripts, i and j, denote the i-th quark in the proton or neutron (j=p or n). Here we deal with u and d quarks (i=u or d) only. The bare quark mass is denoted by m_i and τ_z is the third Pauli matrix. The normalized, ground state for a quark in the nucleon is then given by

$$\psi_{i/j}(\vec{r},t)=\mathcal{N}_{i/j}\exp[-i\epsilon_{i/j}t/R_j]\begin{pmatrix} j_0(x_i r/R_j)\\ i\beta_{i/j}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\hat{r}j_1(x_i r/R_j)\end{pmatrix}\frac{\chi_i}{\sqrt{4\pi}}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{i/j}=\Omega_{i/j}+R_j(V_\omega\pm\frac{1}{2}V_\rho),\ \text{for}\begin{pmatrix}u\\ d\end{pmatrix}\text{quark} \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{-2}_{i/j}=2R_j^3 j_0^2(x_i)[\Omega_{i/j}(\Omega_{i/j}-1)+R_j m_i^\star/2]/x_i^2, \tag{5}$$

$$\beta_{i/j}=\sqrt{(\Omega_{i/j}-R_j m_i^\star)/(\Omega_{i/j}+R_j m_i^\star)}, \tag{6}$$

with $\Omega_{i/j}=\sqrt{x_i^2+(R_j m_i^\star)^2}$ and χ_i the quark spinor. The effective quark mass, $m_i^\star$, is defined by

$$m_i^\star=m_i-(V_\sigma\pm\frac{1}{2}V_\delta),\ \text{for a}\begin{pmatrix}u\\ d\end{pmatrix}\text{quark}. \tag{7}$$

The linear boundary condition at the bag surface provides the equation for the eigenvalue x_i.

Using the SU(6) spin-flavor nucleon wave function, the nucleon energy is given by $E^j_{bag}+3V_\omega\pm\frac{1}{2}V_\rho$ for $\binom{p}{n}$, where the bag energy is

$$E^j_{bag}=\frac{\sum_i n_{i/j}\Omega_{i/j}-z_0}{R_j}+\frac{4}{3}\pi B R_j^3, \tag{8}$$

with B the bag constant and z_0 a phenomenological parameter (initially introduced to account for zero-point motion). Here $n_{i/j}$ is the number of quarks of type i in nucleon j. To correct for spurious c.m. motion in the bag [16] the mass of the nucleon at rest is taken to be

$$M_j=\sqrt{(E^j_{bag})^2-\sum_i n_{i/j}(x_i/R_j)^2}. \tag{9}$$

The effective nucleon mass, $M_j^\star$, in nuclear matter is given by minimizing eq.(9) with respect to R_j.

To see the sensitivity of our results to the bag radius of the free nucleon, we choose $m_u = 5$ MeV and vary the parameters, B, z_0 and m_d, to fit the physical proton and neutron masses for several values of the average, free bag radius $R_0 (= 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 fm)$. Since the electromagnetic (EM) self-energies for p and n contribute to the masses we adjust the parameters to fit the bare proton mass, $M_p = 938.272 - 0.63$ MeV, and the bare neutron mass, $M_n = 939.566 + 0.13$ MeV, where $+0.63$ MeV and -0.13 MeV are the EM self-energies for p and n, respectively [17]. We then find that $B^{1/4} = 187.4$, 157.0, 135.9 MeV, $z_0 = 2.043$, 1.647, 1.178, and $m_d = 9.752$, 10.01, 10.07 MeV for $R_0 = 0.6$, 0.8, 1.0 fm, respectively. The bag radius of the free proton is slightly smaller than that of the free neutron, but the difference is negligible.

For infinite nuclear matter we take the Fermi momenta for protons and neutrons to be k_{F_p} and k_{F_n}. These are defined by $\rho_p = k_{F_p}^3/(3\pi^3)$ and $\rho_n = k_{F_n}^3/(3\pi^3)$, where ρ_p and ρ_n are the densities of p and n, respectively, and the total baryon density, ρ_B, is given by $\rho_p + \rho_n$. The ω field is determined by baryon number conservation, and the ρ mean-field by the difference in proton and neutron densities (ρ_3 below). On the other hand, the scalar mean-fields, σ and δ, are given by a self-consistency condition (SCC) [11, 15]. Since the ρ field value is given by $\bar{b} = g_\rho \rho_3/(2m_\rho^2)$, where $g_\rho = g_\rho^q$ and $\rho_3 = \rho_p - \rho_n$, the total energy per nucleon, E_{tot}, can be written

$$E_{tot} = \frac{2}{\rho_B (2\pi)^3} \sum_{j=p,n} \int^{k_{F_j}} d\vec{k} \sqrt{M_j^{\star 2} + \vec{k}^2} + \frac{m_\sigma^2}{2\rho_B}\bar{\sigma}^2 + \frac{m_\delta^2}{2\rho_B}\bar{\delta}^2 + \frac{g_\omega^2}{2m_\omega^2}\rho_B + \frac{g_\rho^2}{8m_\rho^2\rho_B}\rho_3^2, \quad (10)$$

where $g_\omega = 3g_\omega^q$. Then, the SCC for the ϕ ($= \sigma$ or δ) field is

$$\bar{\phi} = -\frac{2}{(2\pi)^3 m_\phi^2} \left[\sum_{j=p,n} \int^{k_{F_j}} d\vec{k} \frac{M_j^\star}{\sqrt{M_j^{\star 2} + \vec{k}^2}} \times \left(\frac{\partial M_j^\star}{\partial \bar{\phi}} \right)_{R_j} \right]. \quad (11)$$

Using eqs.(8) and (9), we find

$$\left(\frac{\partial M_p^\star}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} \right)_{R_p} = -g_\sigma \times (2C_{u/p} + C_{d/p})/3 \equiv -g_\sigma \times C_p^\sigma, \quad (12)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial M_n^\star}{\partial \bar{\sigma}}\right)_{R_n} = -g_\sigma \times (C_{u/n} + 2C_{d/n})/3 \equiv -g_\sigma \times C_n^\sigma, \tag{13}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial M_p^\star}{\partial \bar{\delta}}\right)_{R_p} = \frac{g_\delta}{2} \times (-2C_{u/p} + C_{d/p}) \equiv \frac{g_\delta}{2} \times C_p^\delta, \tag{14}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial M_n^\star}{\partial \bar{\delta}}\right)_{R_n} = \frac{g_\delta}{2} \times (-C_{u/n} + 2C_{d/n}) \equiv \frac{g_\delta}{2} \times C_n^\delta, \tag{15}$$

where $g_\sigma = 3g_\sigma^q$, $g_\delta = g_\delta^q$ and $C_{i/j}$ is the scalar density of the i-th quark in j:

$$C_{i/j} = \left(\frac{E_{bag}^j}{M_j^\star}\right)[(1 - \frac{\Omega_{i/j}}{E_{bag}^j R_j})S_{i/j} + \frac{m_i^\star}{E_{bag}^j}], \tag{16}$$

with

$$S_{i/j} = \int d\vec{r}\bar{\psi}_{i/j}\psi_{i/j} = \frac{\Omega_{i/j}/2 + R_j m_i^\star(\Omega_{i/j} - 1)}{\Omega_{i/j}(\Omega_{i/j} - 1) + R_j m_i^\star/2}. \tag{17}$$

Note that while C_p^δ has a negative value the others are positive, and that $C_{d/j}$ is slightly greater than $C_{u/j}$. The reason for this is purely quantum mechanical: the u quark is *lighter, i.e., more relativistic,* than the d quark, and hence the small Dirac component in the u quark wave function is greater than that in the d quark. In fig.1, we show the scalar density factors, C_j^ϕ, as functions of ρ_B. The dependence of the scalar densities on the bag radius is not strong. Using eqs. (12) $\sim$ (15), the SCC for the ϕ field becomes

$$g_\phi \bar{\phi} = \frac{\binom{2}{-1}}{(2\pi)^3}\left(\frac{g_\phi}{m_\phi}\right)^2 \left[\sum_{j=p,n} C_j^\phi \int^{k_{F_j}} d\vec{k}\frac{M_j^\star}{\sqrt{M_j^{\star 2} + \vec{k}^2}}\right], \text{ for } \binom{\sigma}{\delta} \text{ meson.} \tag{18}$$

We determine the coupling constants, g_σ^2 and g_ω^2, so as to fit the binding energy (-16 MeV) and the saturation density ($\rho_0 = 0.17 fm^{-3}$) for equilibrium nuclear matter. Furthermore, we choose $g_\delta^2/4\pi = 2.82$ [18] and fit the ρ meson coupling constant so as to reproduce the bulk symmetry energy of nuclear matter, 33.2 MeV. We then find that $g_\sigma^2/4\pi = 19.4$, 20.8, 21.1, $g_\omega^2/4\pi = 1.69$, 1.26, 1.08, and $g_\rho^2/4\pi = 5.51$, 5.74, 5.78 for R_0 = 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 *fm*, respectively. (Here we take $m_\sigma = 550$ MeV, $m_\omega = 783$ MeV, $m_\rho = 770$ MeV and $m_\delta = 983$ MeV.) The present model gives a good value for the nuclear compressibility – around 220 MeV.

Since we have seen the σ meson field in Ref.[11], here we show only the mean field values of the δ meson in fig.2. We define the proton fraction, f_p, as ρ_p/ρ_B. Clearly the δ

meson field has a symmetry property, $\bar{\delta}(f_p) = -\bar{\delta}(1-f_p)$ in the case where $m_u = m_d$, so that in this case $\bar{\delta} = 0$ for $f_p = 0.5$. However, once the quark mass difference is introduced this symmetry is lost; even for $f_p = 0.5$ the δ field does not vanish but has a negative value. It is easy to see why it is negative: from eq.(18), the σ and δ fields at low ρ_B can be expressed as

$$g_\sigma \bar{\sigma} \simeq \left(\frac{g_\sigma}{m_\sigma}\right)^2 (C_p^\sigma \rho_p + C_n^\sigma \rho_n), \tag{19}$$

$$g_\delta \bar{\delta} \simeq -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_\delta}{m_\delta}\right)^2 (C_p^\delta \rho_p + C_n^\delta \rho_n). \tag{20}$$

Therefore, when $f_p = 0.5$, $g_\delta \bar{\delta} = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{g_\delta}{m_\delta}\right)^2 (C_p^\delta + C_n^\delta)\rho_B$ which is less than zero because, as explained below eq.(17), $C_p^\delta + C_n^\delta > 0$.

At this stage we are ready to consider the NS anomaly. As the first-order approximation, the binding energy difference, eq.(1), would be treated in terms of the difference between the effective masses of p and n in matter: $\Delta E_c = \Delta_{np}^0 - (M_n^\star - M_p^\star)$, where Δ_{np}^0 = 1.293 MeV is the n-p mass difference in free space. Since the effective masses, $M_p^\star$ and $M_n^\star$, are functions of ρ_B in our model, we may use the local density approximation (LDA) to calculate ΔE_c for finite nuclei. For medium mass nuclei, ΔE_c has been measured using mirror nuclei [3]. On the other hand, for large nuclei (*i.e.*, neutron rich nuclei), the experiments have been performed by measuring analog resonances in (p, p') and (p, n) reactions [19]. In LDA the effective n-p mass difference in the nucleus A, $\Delta_{np/A}^\star$, may be calculated as

$$\Delta_{np/A}^\star = \int d\vec{r} \Delta_{np}^\star(\bar{\rho}, f_p) P(r), \tag{21}$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ describes the core density of the mirror nuclei or the nuclear density for large mass nuclei, which is taken to have a Woods-Saxon form: $\bar{\rho}_0/(1+\exp[\frac{r-\bar{R}}{a}])$ with $\bar{\rho}_0$ the normalization factor and $a \simeq 0.54fm$. Here we take $\bar{R}$ so as to reproduce the rms radius of the core nucleus for medium mass nuclei [20] or $\bar{R} \simeq 1.12(fm)A^{1/3} - 0.86(fm)A^{-1/3}$ for neutron rich nuclei. Furthermore, we choose $\Delta_{np}^\star = M_n^\star(\bar{\rho}, f_p) - M_p^\star(\bar{\rho}, f_p)$ with $f_p = 0.5(Z/A)$ for mirror (large) nuclei. The probability distribution, $P(r)$, of the last nucleon in mirror nuclei is given by the harmonic oscillator model with the radius parameter

chosen to fit the rms radius of the valence nucleon [20]. (For large nuclei we use the nuclear density distribution itself for $P(r)$.) We show the bag radius dependence of $\Delta^\star_{np}$ in fig.3. It gradually decreases as ρ_B grows, becoming negative for high densities, and it does not much depend on R_0. We can easily see why it decreases: since the effective nucleon mass can be expanded at low densities as

$$M_j^\star \simeq M_j - g_\sigma C_j^\sigma \bar{\sigma} + \frac{g_\delta}{2} C_j^\delta \bar{\delta}, \tag{22}$$

we find that $\Delta^\star_{np} = \Delta^0_{np} - g_\sigma(C_n^\sigma - C_p^\sigma)\bar{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} g_\delta (C_n^\delta - C_p^\delta)\bar{\delta}$ when $f_p = 0.5$. Because $C_n^\sigma > C_p^\sigma$ and $\bar{\delta}$ is numerically much smaller than $\bar{\sigma}$, the n-p mass difference decreases as ρ_B goes up. The numerical results for $\Delta E_c (= \Delta^0_{np} - \Delta^\star_{np/A})$ are displayed in table 1. The present model provides a good overall description of the variation of ΔE_c with mass number. We can see the noticeable shell effects arising from the differences in the average density felt by the valence nucleon. The calculated values are of the right order of magnitude to resolve the anomaly. Note, however, that a complete treatment of the anomaly, including the spin-orbit effects, goes beyond the local density approach used here.

Next we wish to use the model to study the quark condensates in matter. Within the QCD sum-rule approach these play a very important role in a wide range of nuclear phenomena. The difference between the i-th quark condensates in matter, $Q_i(\rho_B)$, and in vacuum, $Q_i(0)$, can be related to the meson fields through the Hellmann-Feynman theorem [11, 21]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_i(\rho_B) \equiv Q_i(\rho_B) - Q_i(0) &= \sum_{j=p,n} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial M_j^\star} \frac{\partial M_j^\star}{\partial m_i} + (\text{meson contributions}), \\ &= n_{i/p} C_{i/p} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial M_p^\star} + n_{i/n} C_{i/n} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial M_n^\star} + \mathcal{O}(\rho_B^2), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \rho_B E_{tot}$ and the meson fields contribute $\mathcal{O}(\rho_B^2)$. We take up the terms of $\mathcal{O}(\rho_B)$ to see the quark condensate at low density. Defining the average of the quark condensates, $\mathcal{Q}$, by $\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{Q}_u + \tilde{Q}_d)$ and their difference, $\delta\mathcal{Q}$, by $\tilde{Q}_d - \tilde{Q}_u$, they can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{Q}(\rho_B) \simeq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{g_\sigma} \right)^2 (g_\sigma \bar{\sigma}) \simeq \frac{3}{2} (C_p^\sigma \rho_p + C_n^\sigma \rho_n), \tag{24}$$

and

$$\delta\mathcal{Q}(\rho_B) \simeq -2\left(\frac{m_\delta}{g_\delta}\right)^2 (g_\delta\bar{\delta}) \simeq C_p^\delta\rho_p + C_n^\delta\rho_n, \tag{25}$$

where we used eqs.(18) $\sim$ (20). It is worth noting that at low density $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\delta\mathcal{Q}$ can be described by the σ and δ fields (respectively) *alone*. Since we have already discussed $\mathcal{Q}$ in Ref.[11], we shall concentrate on $\delta\mathcal{Q}$. In particular it can be related to γ, which is a measure of isospin symmetry breaking in the quark condensate in matter, defined by $\gamma = Q_d(\rho_B)/Q_u(\rho_B) - 1$. Using eqs.(20) and (25), we find that at low density γ is

$$\gamma \simeq \gamma_0 + \frac{\delta\mathcal{Q}}{Q_u(0)} \simeq \gamma_0 + \frac{2}{|Q_u(0)|}\left(\frac{m_\delta}{g_\delta}\right)^2 (g_\delta\bar{\delta}) \simeq \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{|Q_u(0)|}(C_p^\delta\rho_p + C_n^\delta\rho_n), \tag{26}$$

where $|Q_u(0)| \simeq (225\text{MeV})^3$ [22] and γ_0 is the value of γ in vacuum: $\gamma_0 = -(6 \sim 9) \times 10^{-3}$ [10, 22]. Therefore, one finds that $\gamma \simeq \gamma_0 - 1.0(1.3) \times 10^{-3}(\rho_B/\rho_0)$ for $R_0 = 0.6(1.0)fm$ when $f_p = 0.5$. Since γ is directly related to $\bar{\delta}$, γ depends not only on ρ_B but also on f_p, which is quite different from the result in the NJL model [10]. Our result, however, seems natural because the origin of isospin symmetry breaking is very clear in our model: the δ meson couples *differently* to the u and d quarks and the difference of their effective masses in matter is completely controlled by $\bar{\delta}$ (see eq.(7)). Note that γ can be rewritten in terms of the quark effective mass: $\gamma \simeq \gamma_0 + \frac{2}{|Q_u(0)|}(\frac{m_\delta}{g_\delta})^2(\delta m_d^\star - \delta m_u^\star)$, where $\delta m_i^\star = m_i^\star - m_i$.

Finally, we shall consider a potential experimental signature of isospin symmetry breaking. Since the u-d quark mass difference leads a difference between small Dirac components in u and d quark wave functions, the axial-vector neutral-current coupling constants of p and n, g_A^p and g_A^n, seem interesting [23]. Using eq.(2), the ratio of g_A^n to g_A^p is given by $g_A^n/g_A^p = (4G_{d/n} + G_{u/n})/(4G_{u/p} + G_{d/p})$, where $G_{i/j} = \mathcal{N}_{i/j}^2 \int dr r^2[j_0^2(x_i r/R_j) - \frac{1}{3}\beta_{i/j}^2 j_1^2(x_i r/R_j)] = (2\Omega_{i/j}^2 + 4\Omega_{i/j}R_j m_j^\star - 3R_j m_i^\star)/3(2\Omega_{i/j}(\Omega_{i/j} - 1) + R_j m_i^\star)$. In symmetric nuclear matter, we find that $g_A^n/g_A^p = 1 + \frac{3}{5}\eta$ with $\eta \simeq 2(4) \times 10^{-3} + 0.2(6) \times 10^{-4}(\rho_B/\rho_0)$ for $R_0 = 0.6(1.0)fm$. The dependence of η on ρ_B is quite weak. At the present experimental level it is not possible to see it, but one may hope in the future.

In summary, we have applied the QMC model involving σ, ω, ρ and δ mesons (all mesons whose masses lie below 1 GeV) to investigate the NS anomaly for finite nuclei.

We have also used it to investigate isospin symmetry breaking in the quark condensate in nuclear matter. The result is a very reasonable description of both the anomaly and γ in nuclear matter. Their physical origin is very clear and the differences between the quark scalar densities in the proton and neutron, which are generated by the u-d quark mass difference, are essential to understand them.

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council.

References

[1] K. Okamoto, Phys. Lett. **11** (1964) 150.

[2] J. A. Nolen, Jr. and J. P. Schiffer, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. **19** (1969) 471.

[3] S. Shlomo, Rep. Prog. Phys. **41** (1978) 957.

[4] G. A. Miller, B. M. K. Nefkens and I. Šlaus, Phys. Rep. **194** (1990) 1.

[5] H. Sato, Nucl. Phys. **A296** (1976) 378.

[6] G. A. Miller, A. W. Thomas and A. G. Williams, Phys. Rev. **C36** (1987) 1956;
P. G. Blunden and M. J. Iqbal, Phys. Lett. **B198** (1987) 14;
Y. Wu, S. Ishikawa and T. Sasakawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 1875.

[7] T. Goldman, J. A. Henderson and A. W. Thomas, Few Body Systems **12** (1992) 123;
G. Krein, A. W. Thomas and A. G. Williams, Phys. Lett. **B317** (1993) 293.

[8] J. Piekarewicz and A. G. Williams, Phys. Rev. **47** (1993) R2461;
T. Hatsuda, E. M. Henley, Th. Meissner and G. Krein, Phys. Rev. **C49** (1994) 452;
T. Hatsuda, Isospin symmetry breaking in hadrons and nuclei, in: abstract book of the International Symposium on Spin-Isospin responses and Weak processes in Hadrons and Nuclei (Osaka, March 1994), p.58.

[9] E. M. Henley and G. Krein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** (1989) 2586.

[10] T. Hatsuda, H. Høgaasen and M. Prakash, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 2851;
C. Adami and G. E. Brown, Z. Phys. **A340** (1991) 93;
T. Schäfer, V. Koch and G. E. Brown, Nucl. Phys. **A562** (1993) 644.

[11] K. Saito and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. **B** in press.

[12] P. A. M. Guichon, Phys. Lett. **B200** (1988) 235.

[13] S. Fleck, W. Bentz, K. Shimizu and K. Yazaki, Nucl. Phys. **A510** (1990) 731.

[14] K. Saito, A. Michels and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. **C46** (1992) R2149;
A. W. Thomas, K. Saito and A. Michels, Aust. J. Phys. **46** (1993) 3;
K. Saito and A. W. Thomas, to be published in Nucl. Phys. **A**.

[15] B. D. Serot and J. D. Walecka, Advan. Nucl. Phys. vol.16 (1986) 1.

[16] for example, M. Betz and R. Goldflam, Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 2848.

[17] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. **C87** (1982) 77.

[18] M. Machleidt, K. Holinde and Ch. Elster, Phys. Rep. **C149** (1987) 1;
J. Haidenbauer, K. Holinde and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. **C45** (1992) 952.

[19] H. Seitz, Nucl. Phys. **A186** (1972) 574.

[20] A. G. Williams and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. **C33** (1986) 1070.

[21] T. D. Cohen, R. J. Furnstahl and D. K. Griegel, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 1881.

[22] N. Paver, Riazuddin and M. D. Scadron, Phys. Lett. **B197** (1987) 430;
S. Narison, Phys. Lett. **B216** (1989) 191.

[23] A. W. Thomas, P. Bickerstaff and A. Gersten, Phys. Rev. **D24** (1981) 2539.

Figure captions

Fig.1 Scalar density factors, C_j^ϕ, in symmetric nuclear matter ($R_0 = 0.8fm$). The solid, dotted, dashed and dot-dashed curves are for C_p^σ, C_n^σ, $|C_p^\delta|$ and C_n^δ, respectively. The values of the coupling constants are given in the text.

Fig.2 Mean field values of the δ meson for $R_0 = 0.8fm$. The dotted curves are for the case where $m_u = m_d = 10$ MeV. The solid curves display the full calculation involving the quark mass difference. The top (middle) [bottom] two curves are for $f_p = 0.7$ (0.5) [0.3].

Fig.3 The n-p mass difference in matter ($f_p = 0.5$). The dotted, solid and dashed curves are for the calculations with $R_0 = 0.6$, 0.8 and 1.0 fm, respectively.

Table 1: ΔE_c for several finite nuclei. Energies are quoted in MeV.

$R_0(fm)$	0.6	0.8	1.0	observed discrepancy
^{15}O–^{17}N	0.29	0.32	0.34	0.16 ± 0.04
^{17}F–^{17}O	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.31 ± 0.06
^{39}Ca–^{39}K	0.36	0.41	0.44	0.22 ± 0.08
^{41}Sc–^{41}Ca	0.34	0.38	0.41	0.59 ± 0.10
^{120}Sn	0.72	0.83	0.87	
^{208}Pb	0.78	0.91	0.95	~ 0.9

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/nucl-th/9405009v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/nucl-th/9405009v1

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/nucl-th/9405009v1